

General Application Requirements (FINAL)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700309

Agency Information

(Carefully read the instructions before completing this form)

1. Agency Information

- a. Agency Name Placer County Department of Public Works
- b. Organizational Unit Tahoe Engineering Division
- c. Address P.O Box 336
- e. City Kings Beach State CA Zip 96143
- f. Federal Id Number 94-6000052 DUNS Number
- g. Agency fiscal year (beginning month and July-01 day)
- h. Agency Type (Please check one)
- ☐ City ☒ County ☐ U.S. Forest Service
- ☐ U.S. Forest Service - Patrol District ☐ U.S. Bureau of Land Management ☐ Other Federal Agency
- ☐ Federally Recognized Native American Tribe ☐ Educational Institution ☐ Nonprofit Organization - 501(c)(3) status only
- ☐ State Agency ☐ District

2. Project Information

- a. Project Name General Application Requirements - McKinney Rubicon
- b. Is implementing agency same as Agency (Please select Yes or No) ☒ Yes ☐ No
- c. Implementing Agency Name
- d. Amount of Funds Requested Project Cost

Project Request(s) Summary

#	Project Type	Project Title	Grant Request	Match	Total Project Cost
1	G09-03-48-P01	Planning - McKinney Rubicon	136,000	48,000	184,000

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Version # _____

APP # 700309

3. Contact

a. Authorized Representative

Name	Ken Grehm				
Title	Director				
Mailing Address	PO Box 336				
City	Kings Beach	State	CA	Zip	96143
Telephone	(530) 581-6234			Fax	
E-mail Address	agreen@placer.ca.gov				

b. Project Administrator

Name	Amy Green				
Title	Engineer				
Mailing Address	PO Box 336				
City	Kings Beach	State	CA	Zip	96143
Telephone	(530) 581-6234			Fax	
E-mail Address	agreen@placer.ca.gov				

Location Map

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700309

A. Location Map

Attachments:

[Placer County - McKinney Rubicon Location Map](#)

Equipment Inventory

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700309

A. Equipment Inventory

Has your agency purchased any Equipment with OHV Trust Funds within the last five (5) ☐ Yes ☒ No
years? (Please select Yes or No)

#	Item Description	Make	Model	Model Year	Vehicle Identification Number (VIN) or Serial Number	Project Agreement Number

Habitat Management Program (HMP)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700309

PART 1 - ITEM 1. DETERMINE THE NEED FOR FULL FULL HABITAT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (HMP)

All Applicants submitting Projects involving Ground Disturbing Activities are subject to HMP requirements. The HMP must cover the combined Project Area of all proposed Projects with Ground Disturbing Activities.

Applicants able to certify that none of the proposed activities listed in the Application in areas open to legal OHV Recreation contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats shall submit only HMP Part 1. Applicants who cannot certify that the proposed activities listed in the Application in areas open to legal OHV Recreation do not contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats shall submit HMP Parts 1 and 2.

1. Do any of your proposed projects involve Ground Disturbing Activities? (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☒ No
2. Can the Applicant certify that none of the proposed Projects with Ground Disturbing Activities in areas open to legal OHV Recreation contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats? (If you checked 'Yes', you are done with HMP) (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☐ No

PART 2 - RISK ANALYSIS, MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND REPORTING

PART 2 - Section I. Summary of HMP Changes

Has the Applicant previously submitted a HMP Part 2 that is currently in use in the proposed Project Area? (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☐ No

Table 1 - Summary of HMP Changes

Changes from Previous Year	Section Where Change Occurs

PART 2 - Section II - Special Status Species

Table 2 - Table of All Special-Status Species and Any Other Species of Local Concern That Were Considered for Inclusion in the HMP

Species	Listing Status	Habitat	Potential for Occurrence	Addressed by HMP? If not explain why?

PART 2 - Section III - Map(s) of Project Area

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 3

Table 3 - Data (Including Baseline Data) and Management Program for Species and/or Sensitive Habitats

Species/Habitat	Known Information	Methodology	Concerns / Risks / Uncertainties	Management Objective(s)	Management Action(s)	Success Criteria

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 4

Table 4: Summary of HMP Monitoring Program

Species/Habitat	Change Detection Methodology	Effectiveness Monitoring Methodology, Including Triggers	Identify Any Applicable Validation Monitoring (Focused Studies)

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 5

Table 5. Management Review and Response; Adaptive Management

Monitoring Methodology	How Monitoring Information Will Inform Management	How Data Will Be Analyzed	Management Response to Identified Triggers	Who Will Plan Management Response

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 6

Table 6: Previous Year's Monitoring Results

Monitoring Accomplishments	Results	Were Objectives and Success Criteria Achieved?

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 7

Table 7: Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results

Management Actions	Species/ Habitat	Date Completed or Planned - mm/dd/yyyy	Changes Needed to HMP

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 8

Table 8 Management Actions Taken in Response to HMP-related Public Concerns

Concern Raised by Public	Actions Taken to Address the Concern

--	--

Soil Conservation

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700309

A. Soil Conservation

- a. Do any of your proposed projects involve Ground Disturbing Activities? (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☒ No

B. Soil Conservation Plan

Public Review Process

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700309

A. Public Notification Efforts

Check all that apply: (Please select applicable values)

- ☐ Notice to interested Parties/Groups (Enter date in mm/dd/yyyy format)
- ☒ Published on Applicant's Website (Enter date in mm/dd/yyyy format) [03/02/2010]
- ☒ Published in Newspaper
- ☐ News Release Issued
- ☐ Public Meeting(s) Hearing(s) Held

B. Public Comments

Please re-score the Planning section of the grant application to add points for the following items:

* Question 2: Page 1 – check the following boxes

- - Potential effects of OHV Recreation on cultural resources (areas near Rubicon Springs have significant cultural heritage and improving barriers in these areas will decrease the likeliness of off-trail travel in these areas)
- - Potential effects of OHV Recreation on other recreation uses (the Pacific Crest Trail crosses the Placer County side of the Rubicon Trail, and hikers user the Rubicon Trail to access the Desolation Wilderness Area via Rockbound Pass (PCDPW's planning process can support these secondary uses to the historic Rubicon Trail, which predates both secondary uses)
- - Potential effects of OHV Recreation on adjacent lands (PCDPW's planning process should supports signage, mapping, and traffic measures to enhance appropriate use of adjacent lands via the Barker Pass OHV Trail, the Barker Pass Road, and roads that lead to Bear Lake, Duck Lake, Long Lake, Richardson Lake, and others)
- - Potential impact to relationships between OHV Recreation and local residents (PCDPW's planning process supports signage, mapping, and traffic measures to create and maintain a traffic corridor from Highway 89, through the Tahoma subdivision, to the McKinney Rubicon OHV Staging Area)

* Question 2: Page 2 – push the radio button to the left of "6 or more items checked (4 points)"

* Question 8: Identification of Funding Sources – I suggest that you change this to add information something along the following lines: "Placer County will reach out to a variety of volunteers from varied sources, starting with Friends of the Rubicon, and will use the calculated value of their volunteer hours to match OHMVR's grant funding to implement the completed plan."

As well, please clarify in "Question 5: Stakeholder Input" that most volunteer hours will come from the Friends of the Rubicon (FOTR) and that Rubicon Trail Foundation (RTF) will support them, but not provide the bulk of the hours. Supplementarily, I also suggest working directly with Jeepers Jamboree to document this year's continuation of their more-than-half-a-century history of volunteering to maintain the Rubicon Trail. Together, these organizations should have be able to amass the hours for PCDPW's proposed grant and the other various grants proposed for the Rubicon Trail. Adding a variant of this paragraph to the 'Statement of Activities' section of this Planning Grant will better detail the volunteer match and broad-base buy-in that this proposal has from trail users.

Please re-score the General Application Requirements section of the grant application to add points for the following items:

* Question 2: Quality of OHV Opportunity

- - Majority of trail intersections are signed with information such as: trail names, directional signs, relative difficulty, mileage to next feature (on the Placer County McKinney Rubicon Trail, the majority of the trail intersections have posted signage with trail names, and some also have directional indicators)

* Question 9: Website is ripe for collecting 5 points, as long as Placer County can post a webpage before the early-May deadline for grant completion. Rubicon Trail Foundation provided a detailed map of the trail to El Dorado County and could provide the same to Placer County. This map contains a map to location, locations of visitor facilities, and map of facilities, and it would be quick and easy for Placer County to additionally list the hours of operation and absence of seasonal restrictions / fee schedule (Rubicon Trail is open year-round with no fees), as well as Placer County DPW and Sheriff contact information, a link to the OHMVR Division website. This sort of quick, informational website could be put up simply and efficiently. Further information (such as information on responsible riding, safety information, and news releases) could be added later as time permits.

C. Application Development as a result of Public Comments

- a. Were changes made to the Application as a result of public comments? (Please select ☒ Yes ☐ No Yes or No)

- b. Describe how public comments affected the Application

Comment to Planning Project Criteria Question 2 - Suggest potential effects were checked and explained. This project has only positive potential effects

Comment to Planning Project Criteria Question 8 - change was made.

Comment to Planning Project Criteria Question 5 - Change was made to include volunteers during Jeepers Jamboree.

Comment to General Application Evaluation Criteria Question 2 - Box was checked

Comment to General Application Evaluation Criteria Question 9 - Placer County is not set up to maintain a website for this project at this time.

Certifications

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700309

1. Applicant Certifications

A. General Conditions

- A. The Applicant hereby certifies, under the penalty of perjury, compliance with the following terms and conditions: ☒
1. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees to monitor the condition of soils and wildlife in the Project Area each year in order to determine whether the soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to Public Resource Code (PRC), Section 5090.35 and the HMP prepared pursuant to Section 5090.53(a) are being met.
 2. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees that, whenever the soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to PRC Section 5090.35 is not being met in any portion of a Project Area, the recipient shall close temporarily that noncompliant portion, to repair and prevent accelerated erosion, until the same soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to PRC Section 5090.35 is met.
 3. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees that, whenever the HMP prepared pursuant to PRC Section 5090.53(a) is not being met in any portion of a Project Area, the recipient shall close temporarily that noncompliant portion until the same HMP prepared pursuant to PRC Section 5090.53(a) is met.
 4. The Applicant agrees to enforce the registration of off-highway motor vehicles and the other provisions of Division 16.5 (commencing with Section 38000) of the Vehicle Code and to enforce the other applicable laws regarding the operation of off-highway motor vehicles.
 5. The Applicant agrees to cooperate with appropriate law enforcement entities to provide proper law enforcement at and around the Facility.
 6. The Applicant's Project is in accordance with local or federal plans and the strategic plan for OHV Recreation prepared by the OHMVR Division.

B. Programmatic Conditions

B. The Applicant must describe the following programmatic conditions:

1. Identify the potential for the facility to reduce illegal and unauthorized OHV Recreation activities in the surrounding areas:

This project will propose/develop ways, with the help of volunteer organizations to reduce illegal and unauthorized OHV trails leading from the McKinney Rubicon trail
2. Describe how the Applicant is meeting the operations and maintenance needs of any existing OHV Recreation Facility under its jurisdiction:

Placer County is meeting the O&M needs by applying for grants to maintain the McKinney Rubicon Trail. O&M includes maintenance of best management practices previously installed as part of Erosion Control Projects, maintaining the existing trail and eliminating voluntary trails which disturb surrounding areas, and identifying potential problems which cause or could cause degradation to the existing trail

C. Fee Collection

Describe how fees collected pursuant to Section 38230 of the Vehicle Code (in-lieu funds) are utilized and whether the fees complement the Applicant's proposed Project:

The fees are placed in the general fund for Placer County general recreational services and do not specifically complement this project

D. Compliance with PRC 5090.50(b)(1)(C)

Projects within the O&M category that affect lands identified as inventoried roadless areas by the U.S. Forest Service, are compliant with PRC 5090.50(b)(1)(C). (Please select Yes or No)

☐

Yes

☐

No

2. Governing Body Resolution

Attachments:

[Placer County Governing Body Resolution](#)

Certification - Non Profits / Education

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700309

- 1. Written Agreement with Land Manager**
- 2. Verification of Nonprofit 501(c)(3) Status**

Evaluation Criteria

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700309

1. OHV Visitor Opportunity Summary

1 OHV Visitor Opportunity Summary

- a. Does the land manager agency provide legal OHV riding opportunity? (Please select ☒ Yes ☐ No Yes or No)

Starting (Month/Year) 01/2009

Ending (Month/Year) 12/2009

- b. Off-Highway Vehicle Opportunity Ratio (OHV Ratio) opportunity
- i. Months of OHV Opportunity (OHV Months) 12
- ii. Total Miles Of Routes Available For OHV Recreation 9
- iii. Total Acres Of Open Riding Available For OHV Recreation 0
- iv. OHV Visitation (visitor days) 2000
- v. Ratio of OHV Visitation/OHV Opportunity 222.22

1 OHV Visitor Opportunity Summary (2)

- c. Reference Document that support the responses to a. and b. on previous page
- The trail in Placer County is open 12 months of the year. Visitation data was estimated from user counts done on El Dorado from users who were traveling through to Lake Tahoe.
- d. Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) = OHV Ratio x OHV Months / 12 222.22
- Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score

2. Quality of OHV Opportunity

Land Manager's OHV program 6

Check all that apply (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Map with OHV Recreation opportunities clearly shown is available for distribution at no cost (2 points)
- ☐ Map with OHV Recreation opportunities clearly shown is available on the Land Manager's website (2 points)
- ☐ Map indicates relative difficulty of each OHV trail (2 points)
- ☐ Map indicates appropriate OHV use type (ATV, dirt bike, 4x4, OSV, etc.) (2 points)
- ☒ At least fifty percent of the staging areas include support facilities (restrooms, picnic tables, trash cans, shade structures) (2 points)
- ☒ Majority of trail intersections are signed with information such as: trail names, directional signs, relative difficulty, mileage to next feature (2 points)

3. Variety of OHV Opportunity

- a. Skill levels (e.g., beginner, intermediate, advanced) indicated by publicly available maps or signage marking trails with relative difficulty 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ 3 or more skill levels (5 points)
- ☐ 2 skill levels (3 points)
- ☐ 1 skill level (1 point)
- ☐ Land Manager has no legal OHV riding opportunity (No points)

b. Type of OHV Opportunity (ATV, dirt bike, 4x4, OSV, RUV, Sand Rail/Dune Buggy) 6

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ Opportunities for 3 or more vehicle types (6 points) ☐ Opportunities for 2 vehicle types (3 points)
☐ Opportunity for only 1 vehicle type (1 point) ☐ Land Manager has no legal OHV riding opportunity (No points)

4. Agency Contribution

Is the cost of OHV Program for Land Manager's most recent complete fiscal year (not to include Indirect Costs) greater than \$0?. If NO, then No points. Go to item #5. (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☒ No

If YES, enter cost of OHV Program for Land Manager's most recent complete fiscal year (not to include Indirect Costs):

% Funded by OHV Trust Fund (do not include in-lieu funds):

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ No OHV Trust Funds were used (6 points)
☐ 10% or less of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (4 points)
☐ 11% to 25% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (3 points)
☐ 26% to 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (1 point)
☐ More than 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (No points)

Reference Document

5. Project Performance

For Applicant's OHV grant Projects which reached the end of the Project performance period within the last two years, the percentage of all deliverables accomplished 2

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ 100% of Deliverable accomplished (5 points)
☐ 75% to 99% of Deliverables accomplished (3 points)
☐ Less than 75% of Deliverables accomplished (No points)
☒ First time Applicants and past Applicants with no active Grant projects within the last two years (2 points)

6. Previous Year Performance

In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.

FOR DIVISION USE ONLY (Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit (3 points)
☐ First time Applicants and past Applicants with no active Grant projects within the last two years (2 points)
☐ In the previous year the Applicant has not been responsive (No points)

7. Prevention of OHV trespass

7. Prevention of OHV trespass - Fence (Page 1)

- a. Is site a completely fenced facility such that OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas is prevented? 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☒ No (answer items b and c)

☐ Yes (10 points, explain and then skip to item 8)

Explain 'Yes' response:

7. Prevention of OHV trespass - Patrol (Page 2)

- b. The majority of OHV Opportunity areas are patrolled (Check the one most appropriate) 1

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ At least 5 days per week (5 points)
☐ At least once per week (3 points)
☒ At least once per month (1 point)
☐ Less than once per month (No points)

Explain patrol efforts (e.g., frequency of patrol, patrol personnel, percent of lands covered by patrols)

The Trail is patrolled by the USFS and the Rubicon Trail Patrol. Placer County does not patrol the McKinney Rubicon Trail.

7. Prevention of OHV trespass - Measures (Page 3)

- c. Measures to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas 5

(Check all that apply) (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Barriers and/or signing are used to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas (3 points)
☒ Education programs, maps and/or brochures provided to the public address OHV trespass, including respect for private property (2 points)

Explain measures utilized to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas

The USFS has a barrier to close Barker Pass Road during winter months, which is a main access from the McKinney Rubicon Trail to Blackwood Canyon. The Rubicon Trail Foundation provides education and maps to the public addressing OHV trespass and respect to property.

8. OHV Education

8 OHV Education - Page 1

- a. Education materials available onsite 10

(Check all that apply) (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Free literature is provided to visitors describing safe and responsible OHV recreational practices (5 points)
☒ Bulletin boards, signs or kiosks, at the majority of staging areas, trailheads, or other areas where the public gathers provide information concerning safe and responsible OHV Recreation (5 points)

- b. Applicant or Land Manager provides formal programs, educational talks, school field trips, etc. to the public to educate them on safe and responsible OHV recreational practices: 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ 50 or more per year (3 points) ☐ 20 to 49 times per year (2 points)
☐ 5 to 19 times per year (1 point) ☒ Less than 5 times per year (No points)

8. OHV Education - Page 2

- c. When Facility is open, staff are available at trailheads, visitor centers and/or entrance stations to provide information on safe and responsible OHV use 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ Daily (5 points) ☐ On all weekends (4 points)
☐ On the majority of weekends (2 points) ☐ On major holidays (1 points)
☒ None of the above (No points)

- d. ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation approved training courses are provided to the public: 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ At least 30 times per year (5 points) ☐ 18-29 times per year (3 points)
☐ 4-17 times per year (1 points) ☒ Less than 4 times per year (No points)

Describe Land Manager's onsite education efforts relative to items a. - d.:

Placer County works closely with the Rubicon Trail Foundation who provides educational material to users of the trail and who maintains all bulletin boards with safety and trail use information.

9. Website

- a. OHV outreach efforts are accomplished through the Land Manager's website 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ No (skip to question 10) ☐ Yes (provide URL address and answer item b)

Provide URL address

- b. The Land Manager's website contains the following items

(Check all that apply) - Scoring: 1 point each up to a maximum of 5 points. (Please select applicable values)

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Map to location | <input type="checkbox"/> Hours of operation | <input type="checkbox"/> Safety information |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Visitor facilities | <input type="checkbox"/> Contact information | <input type="checkbox"/> News releases |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Information on responsible riding | <input type="checkbox"/> Map of Facilities | <input type="checkbox"/> Fee schedule |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Seasonal restrictions | <input type="checkbox"/> Link to Division Website | <input type="checkbox"/> Law enforcement contact information |

10. OHV Outreach

Check all forms of OHV outreach the Applicant utilizes: 1

Scoring: 1 point each up to a maximum of 3 points. (Please select applicable values)

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Billboards | <input type="checkbox"/> CDs and/or DVDs |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Community meetings | <input type="checkbox"/> OHV dealers |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fairs | <input type="checkbox"/> News releases |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (specify) [OHV Groups] | <input type="checkbox"/> Television |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Parades | <input type="checkbox"/> Radio |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Programs at schools | |

11. Natural and Cultural Resources

11. Natural and Cultural Resources - Page 1

- a. Is the Land Manager's OHV area a completely fenced track facility with little or no native vegetation?
0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ No (answer item b) ☐ Yes (5 points, explain and then skip to item 12)

Explain 'Yes' response

11. Natural and Cultural Resources - Page 2

- b. Resource Management Information System 0

Does the Land Manager maintain a management information system managed by qualified environmental staff that identifies and monitors the impacts of the OHV activity and contains at least the following:

- Ongoing survey/inventory of species
- Ongoing survey/inventory of archeological sites
- Biological monitoring that measures changes in populations
- Components that evaluate the effects of OHV recreation and related activity on the species;
- Recommendations for improvement in species management
- Strategies to respond to changing conditions that affect the survival or reproduction of species? (Please select one from list)

☒ No (No points)

☐ Yes (5 points)

Reference Document

12. Soil Management

12. Soil Management - Page 1

- a. Land Manager has developed a systematic methodology for evaluating soil conditions of its OHV Opportunities? 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☒ No (No points)

☐ Yes (5 points)

Explain 'Yes' response

- b. Land Manager has developed methods to address soil issues? 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☐ No (No points)

☒ Yes (5 points)

Explain 'Yes' response In 2001 we established water bars up the Sierra Crest to address soil issues.

12. Soil Management - Page 2

- c. Land Manager performs soil monitoring 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☐ Monthly (3 points)

☐ After major rain events (2 points)

☒ Annually (No points)

13. Sound Level Testing

The Applicant or Land Manager conducts, or causes to be conducted, sound level testing 0

(Check only one if applicable) (Please select one from list)

☐ On most (50% or more) holidays and weekends (4 points)

☐ At least 25% but less than 50% of holidays and weekends (2 points)

☒ Less than 25% of holidays and weekends (No points)

Describe the sound testing program

There is no current sound testing program